UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,480	03/31/2004	Karen K.Y. Young	022101-000230US	8589
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP 2 EMBARCADERO CENTER, 8TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			MOSHER, MARY	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/815,480	YOUNG, KAREN K.Y.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	1648				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	(3)					
(2) <u>Matthew Hinsch</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>25 February 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all pending</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed allowable subject matter. Applicant would like to replace rejected "hybridization" claim language with degenerate sequence disclosed in spec, eg seq 4 in Table 1. MM thought that response looked reasonable but could not make commitment on allowability. Applicant will submit amendment.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Mary E Mosher, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 16 Examiner's signature, if requi					